

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,731	EBATA, MASAMICHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHIRAG R. PATEL	2454	

All Participants:

(1) CHIRAG R. PATEL

(2) Johnathan Berschadsky (Reg# 46,551)

Date of Interview: 21 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested examiner amendment to add "non-transitory" to claim 19. Also discussion cancelling claims 20-28, which were previously withdrawn. Applicants authorized examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/NATHAN FLYNN/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2454

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)